

The second recorded living coelacanth from north Sulawesi

Mark V. Erdmann^a, Roy L. Caldwell^a, Susan L. Jewett^b & Agus Tjakrawidjaja^c

^aDepartment of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, U.S.A.

(e-mail: flotsam@manado.wasantara.net.id)

^bNational Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Mail Stop 159, Washington, D.C. 20560, U.S.A.

^cResearch and Development Centre for Biology, LIPI, Jl. Raya Jakarta-Bogor Km. 46, Cibinong, Indonesia

Received 27 November 1998

Accepted 20 December 1998

Key words: *Latimeria chalumnae*, Manado, Indonesia, gillnet, biogeography, morphometry

Synopsis

A detailed account of the second coelacanth specimen known to have been captured in Indonesian waters is provided. The account is written in the official format of the Coelacanth Conservation Council's (CCC) inventory of known specimens of the living coelacanth *Latimeria chalumnae*, and the specimen is herein numbered CCC no. 175. Morphological measurements and fin ray counts are recorded. A comparison of these measurements and meristic data with those recorded for Comoran specimens of *Latimeria chalumnae* shows that the present specimen has a similar allometry to *L. chalumnae*. Ongoing genetic analyses should reveal the depth of divergence between the two known populations of living coelacanths and help determine if this specimen is conspecific with its Comoran counterparts.

Introduction

As first reported in Erdmann et al. (1998) and Erdmann (1999), the initial discovery of a coelacanth specimen in a north Sulawesi, Indonesia fish market on 18 September 1997, and the subsequent capture and preservation of a second specimen from the same area on 30 July 1998, strongly suggest the existence of a Sulawesi population of living coelacanths. Those authors suggested that preliminary morphological examination of the second specimen indicated that the Sulawesi population is the same species as the well-known Comoran population of coelacanths, *Latimeria chalumnae* (Smith 1939).

The purpose of this paper is to record all relevant details of the second specimen within the established framework of the Coelacanth Conservation Council's (CCC) inventory of known specimens of *Latimeria chalumnae* (Bruton & Coutouvidis 1991). We also provide a comparison of selected morphological

measurements and meristic data from this specimen to those reported for Comoran *L. chalumnae* by McAllister & Smith (1978). Details of the search for the second Sulawesi specimen, as well as a description of the potential habitat, the fishery which accidentally catches coelacanths, and the current conservation status of the Sulawesi population will be described in a future paper (Erdmann & Caldwell unpublished).

The second Indonesian coelacanth

Below we present the official CCC entry for the second Sulawesi coelacanth specimen, following the format of Bruton & Coutouvidis (1991).

(1) CCC no. 175.

(2) *Date and time of capture:* 30 July 1998, before dawn. The gillnet in which the specimen was captured was set at 17:30 h on the previous day, and pulled from the water at 6:00 h on 30 July. Calm seas, seven days after the new moon (first quarter).

Environmental Biology of Fishes

CONTENTS Vol. 54, No. 4 1999

Invited editorial

- The role of learning in the aggressive and reproductive behavior of blue gouramis, *Trichogaster trichopterus*, by K.L. Hollis 355-369

Full papers

- Distribution patterns of indigenous freshwater fishes in the Tagus River basin, Spain, by J.A. Carmona, I. Doadrio, A.L. Márquez, R. Real, B. Hugueny & J.M. Vargas 371-387
- Fishes of floodplain habitats of the Fly River system, Papua New Guinea, and changes associated with El Niño droughts and algal blooms, by S. Swales, A.W. Storey, I.D. Roderick & B.S. Figa 389-404
- Gill morphometry in growth hormone transgenic Atlantic salmon, by E.D. Stevens & A. Sutterlin 405-411
- Effects of body size and food ration on over-winter survival and growth of age-0 Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*, by V. Gotceitas, D.A. Methven, S. Fraser & J.A. Brown 413-420
- Husbandry of the annual killifish *Austrofundulus limnaeus* with special emphasis on the collection and rearing of embryos, by J.E. Podrabsky 421-431

Brief communication

- Feeding and growth response of roach, *Rutilus rutilus*, to alarm substance by A. Jachner & T. Janecki 433-437

Rapid communications

- An account of the first living coelacanth known to scientists from Indonesian waters, by M.V. Erdmann 439-443
- The second recorded living coelacanth from north Sulawesi, by M.V. Erdmann, R.L. Caldwell, S.L. Jewett & A. Tjakrawidjaja 445-451
- Are the Indonesian and western Indian Ocean coelacanths conspecific: a prediction, by V.G. Springer 453-456

- Coelacanth Conservation Council Newsletter no. 6 457-470

- Authors' index 471

- Acknowledgements for refereeing 472

- Volume contents 473-475

- Instructions to authors 476-477

- Announcement 478

Environmental Biology of Fishes
Vol. 54, No. 4, 1999
ISSN 0167-4544

(3) *Site of capture*: Directly offshore from the village of Papindan, Manado Tua island. Latitude/longitude: 1° 38' N, 124° 43' E.

(4) *Name of fisherman*: Om Lameh Sonatham, 56 years of age, owns and is in charge of the shark gill-net which captured the fish, and he and his son Samuel brought the fish to the first author's house on the morning of 30 July 1998.

(5) *Distance from shore at capture*: Approximately 250–400 m from shore. The shallow (1–7 m) reef flat here is approximately 150 m wide, eventually sloping to a 50 m wide shelf at 15–20 m depth. From the edge of this shelf the reef slopes steeply to over 1000 m depth. The shark net is anchored at the edge of the reef flat with a 200 m long rope, and the net itself is approximately 150 m in length.

(6) *Depth of capture*: Approximately 100–150 m depth. As the net itself is 150 m long, and extends downwards along the reef slope, it is difficult to make a more accurate approximation of the depth of capture.

(7) *Bait used*: No bait was used. As mentioned above, this specimen was captured with a deep-set shark gill-net. Details of the net are provided in Erdmann (1999).

(8) *Weight*: 29.2 kg, weighed shortly after death and before freezing.

(9) *Length*: The specimen was 124 cm TL (tip of snout to tip of epicaudal lobe), and 119 cm SL (tip of snout to posterior terminus of notochord). Table 1 summarizes additional body measurements and meristic data recorded during the initial examination of the specimen, with a comparison of these measurements to those reported in McAllister & Smith (1978). Additional fin ray counts not reported by McAllister & Smith (1978) include: dorsal lobe of caudal fin = 24; ventral lobe of caudal fin = 21; and epicaudal lobe = 33 fin rays.

The results of the comparison to McAllister & Smith's (1978) measurements indicate that the allometry of the Sulawesi specimen is very similar to that reported for Comoran *L. chalumnae*. Of the lengths and meristic data measured and proportions calculated, only the proportional lengths of the gular plate and the pectoral fins to the specimen's standard length and the number of fin rays in the left pectoral fin fell outside the reported ranges of McAllister & Smith (1978) for *L. chalumnae*. Furthermore, the log-transformed regression equation of McAllister & Smith (1978, p. 72) for weight of female specimens, using a TL of 124 cm, predicts a weight of 29.5 kg. This prediction is obviously in close accordance with the measured

weight of 29.2 kg. Ongoing analyses of mitochondrial DNA sequences of this specimen and comparison to published sequences of Comoran specimens should soon give a clearer indication of the depth of divergence between these two populations of living coelacanths.

(10) *Sex*: Female, with three maturing ovarian eggs, each approximately 3 cm in diameter.

A review of the literature available to us suggests that this is the second smallest-sized female coelacanth known with eggs. The only smaller specimen reported with eggs is CCC no. 4, a female of 1.09 m TL that Millot et al. (1978) report as having a number of immature eggs in the ovary. They did not report the size and number of these eggs.

Unfortunately, the eggs began to deteriorate quickly as the specimen thawed, and subsequent injection with formalin may have been too late to fully preserve them. The eggs showed no appreciable structure beyond a solid sphere of yellow yolk and they were considerably smaller than mature *L. chalumnae* eggs, which typically measure 8.5–9.0 cm in diameter (Millot et al. 1978). Our review of the literature does not reveal a clear distinction between preovulatory oocytes and postovulatory eggs, and their respective sizes. Other reports of female specimens with eggs include: Suyehiro et al. (1982) report a 1.75 m female with around 30 ovarian eggs of maximum diameter 4.5 cm; Millot et al. (1978) report a 1.66 m female with immature eggs up to 2 cm in diameter (specimen C₉, CCC no. 10), and a 1.8 m female with maturing eggs of maximum diameter 7 cm (specimen C₁₈, CCC no. 20); Bruton & Coutouvidis (1991) describe a 1.42 m female with 'maturing eggs' (CCC no. 8) but size was not provided; Bruton (1993) reports 59 vitellogenic, 'chicken egg-sized' eggs from a 1.68 m female (CCC no. 154); and Heemstra & Greenwood (1992) mention a 1.64 m female with at least 65 ovarian oocytes, ranging in size from 3–15 mm in diameter (CCC no. 159).

The literature is not clear on the determination of 'maturity' in female coelacanths; the description of the 1.42 m specimen CCC no. 8 as an 'immature female with maturing eggs' (Bruton & Coutouvidis 1991) suggests that presence of ovarian eggs does not indicate maturity. Interestingly, Bruton & Armstrong (1991) predict maturity of *L. chalumnae* at approximately 1.25 m TL, very close to the size of the present specimen. A more detailed examination of the eggs and reproductive tract of the Sulawesi specimen is clearly warranted.

Table 1. Morphological measurements and meristic data recorded from specimen CCC no. 175. Morphological features are as defined in McAllister & Smith (1978). Lengths are reported to the nearest 5 mm, with the percentage below indicating proportional length of the feature compared to the standard length of the specimen. Reported ranges are only for those specimens above 100 cm SL reported by McAllister & Smith (1978), which ranged from 106.3–162.3 cm SL.

Morphological feature	Length	Reported range	Number of fin rays	Reported range
Head length (to posterior of opercular flap)	33 cm 27.7%	30.7–48.5 cm 27–33%		
Head length (to posterior of bony operculum)	26 cm 21.8%	22.8–39.0 cm 21–26%		
Snout length	8 cm 6.7%	4.3–12.6 cm 4–9%		
Upper jaw length	13 cm 10.9%	9.7–18.8 cm 9–13%		
Lower jaw length	24 cm 20.2%	25.3–34.5 cm 19–22%		
Gular plate length	19.5 cm 16.4%	14.1–24.7 cm 13–16%		
Operculum width	7.5 cm 6.3%	7.4–10.4 cm 6–8%		
Orbital diameter	4 cm 3.4%	3.4–5.6 cm 3–4%		
Body height	25 cm 21%	25.6–43.8 cm 20–30%		
First dorsal fin	18 cm 15.1%	17.6–26.0 cm 13–18%	8	8
Second dorsal fin	22 cm 18.5%	17.8–29.3 cm 13–20%	28	27–31
Pectoral fin	29 cm 24.4%	22.9–33.3 cm 17–23%	30,3	29–32
Pelvic fin	20.5 cm 17.2%	19.2–31.2 cm 16–21%	3,33	29–33
Anal fin	22.5 cm 18.9%	16.2–27.2 cm 15–19%	30	28–30
Lateral line scale count			82	76–82

(11) *Condition on capture*: The fish was still alive when the net was hauled in, and was brought to the home of the first author while alive. The specimen sustained minor injuries from the gill net, including broken fin spines on the first dorsal fin and several lacerations with missing scales on the left side of the body. Additionally, the anal/urogenital opening was inflamed and bleeding.

An attempt to revive the fish by pulling it with a rope behind a wooden speedboat to pass water over its gills was partially successful, as the fish resumed fin movements within several minutes of towing. The first author and his wife were then able to swim with the fish for over an hour while shooting several rolls of film.

Fin movements observed were as described by Fricke et al. (1987), including both sculling motions with the second dorsal and anal fins in opposition, and 'under-water flying' using the paired pectoral and pelvic fins as stabilizing 'wings' (Figure 1). We also observed occasional movement of the paired fins in the alternately synchronous fashion described by Fricke et al. (1987), while no substantial motion of the caudal fin was noted. The first dorsal fin tended to remain folded against the body, though it was erected several times when the first author's wife approached it closely (Figure 2).

During this time the fish tended to roll on to its back, and eventually its fin movements became more erratic and the fins limp. At this point, the fish was transported




Figure 1. The Sulawesi specimen using its pectoral fins as stabilizing 'wings' while held in a slight current.

via speedboat into the city of Manado, where it was weighed, dissected for tissue samples, and then frozen (Figure 3). The fish survived for almost six hours after being removed from the gill net.

(12) *Method of preservation:* As described above, this specimen was frozen shortly after death. Prior to freezing, a ventral incision was made in the body cavity for the purpose of tissue sample collection. Replicates of the following tissue samples were taken: heart, liver, white muscle (from area of ventral incision), and gill filaments (from the left gill arches). Replicates of each tissue sample were preserved by the following three methods: storage in liquid nitrogen, storage in a regular deep freezer, and storage in 95% ethanol. All scales which were loosened by the incision were also preserved in 95% ethanol.

Two months after the initial freezing, on 28 September 1998, the fish was taken (still frozen) to Jakarta for the purpose of preservation in formalin. Before preservation, several additional tissue samples

were taken from the following areas: white muscle from the area of the ventral incision, red muscle and skin from the medial surface of the left pectoral fin, gill filaments from the left gill arches, and additional liver samples. Replicates of these tissues were stored in both 100% ethanol and in DMSO-EDTA-NaCl buffer, as described in Seutin et al. (1991).

Before immersing in 10% formalin, the specimen was injected with full-strength formalin in all fins, organs and throughout the body using a large-bore hypodermic needle fitted to a pressure sprayer. After seven weeks of immersion in 10% formalin, the specimen was rinsed well with water and soaked in water for a full day, then transferred into three successively stronger concentrations of ethanol (35%, 55%, and 70%). The specimen is now stored permanently in 70% ethanol in an airtight glass display case.

(13) *Condition of preserved specimen:* The specimen is generally in excellent condition for morphological investigations. The gill filaments on the left side of the




Figure 2. The first author's wife Arnaz swimming next to the specimen in approximately 10 m depth. Note the erect position of the first dorsal fin.

fish, as well as the heart and part of the liver have been mostly removed for tissue samples, but otherwise the specimen is intact. Unfortunately, the eggs began to deteriorate before they were injected with full strength formalin.

(14) *First literature reference*: Erdmann et al. (1998).

(15) *Current holding*: The specimen is currently housed at the Indonesian Institute of Science's Research and Development Center for Biology in Cibinong, Indonesia (near Jakarta), where it will remain permanently. The specimen was accessioned as specimen # MZB10003. There are plans for a public display of a life-size replica of the specimen at the Bogor National Zoological Museum as well.

CITES import and export permits were obtained for the tissue samples, which are now being stored at the University of California, Berkeley. A schedule for

distribution of these limited tissue holdings to qualified coelacanth researchers is currently being prepared.

(16) *Additional comments*: As commented upon by Erdmann et al. (1998), the only obvious difference between the current specimen and the descriptions of Comoran *L. chalumnae* is the color. While the Comoran specimens are generally described as steel blue with white pigment blotches (e.g., Balon et al. 1988), the present specimen was distinctly brown in life, though with a slightly bluish cast to the fin edges and operculum. This color difference may be a result of the deteriorating health of the fish when observed; Comoran coelacanths are known to quickly turn brown in coloration after death.

The other color note of interest was the intense pattern of gold flecks covering the dorsal surface of the body of the specimen. This gold flecking appears to be




Figure 3. The specimen shortly after death, just prior to freezing.

a result of the prismatic effect of light reflected off the numerous denticles covering the scales of the fish.

Finally, the local name of the fish is 'raja laut', which translates as 'king of the sea' in the Indonesian language. Contrary to the intimation of Forey (1998), however, this name was not previously well-known among the fishing community of north Sulawesi. Rather, this name was actually given to the fish by middlemen fish sellers in an apparent attempt to make the generally inedible coelacanth more marketable to unsuspecting buyers. At the time of the announcement of the discovery of the Sulawesi population, this name was only known to a select few fishermen and fish traders in Manado. Now, thanks to extensive popular

media coverage, the name has become a part of the local vernacular.

Acknowledgements

We would like to gratefully acknowledge the sponsorship and support of M.K. Moosa and the Indonesian Institute of Sciences and the financial support of the U.S. National Science Foundation (International Programs Grant #INT-9704616) and the National Geographic Society (Grant #6349-98). The Smithsonian Institution's National Museum of Natural History provided the freezer and liquid nitrogen storage facilities

for the specimen and tissue, allowed SLJ to fly to Indonesia to lend her expertise in preservation and examination of large fish specimens, and obtained the CITES import permit. The staff of P3B LIPI were most accommodating and helpful in all aspects of the preservation and display process, especially Y. Suhardjono, I. Rachmatika, S. Wirjoatmodjo, R. Hadiaty, Pak Koestoto, and Ibu Lili. Additionally, Pak Subiyanto was invaluable in arranging the CITES permit for tissue export. E. Balon, M. Bruton, K. Cole and V. Springer reviewed the manuscript and offered valuable suggestions. W. Lessard assisted greatly with French translations. Finally, thanks very much to Om Lamah Sonatham and his crew for delivering to the world the first Indonesian coelacanth available for scientific study.

References cited

- Balon, E.K., M.N. Bruton & H. Fricke. 1988. A fiftieth anniversary reflection on the living coelacanth, *Latimeria chalumnae*: some new interpretations of its natural history and conservation status. *Env. Biol. Fish.* 23: 241-280.
- Bruton, M.N. 1993. Coelacanth Conservation Council Newsletter no. 4. *Env. Biol. Fish.* 36: 395-406.
- Bruton, M. & M.J. Armstrong. 1991. The demography of the coelacanth *Latimeria chalumnae*. *Env. Biol. Fish.* 32: 301-311.
- Bruton, M. & S.E. Coutouvidis. 1991. An inventory of all known specimens of the coelacanth *Latimeria chalumnae*, with comments on trends in catches. *Env. Biol. Fish.* 32: 371-390.
- Erdmann, M.V. 1999. An account of the first living coelacanth known to scientists from Indonesian waters. *Env. Biol. Fish.* 54: 439-443.
- Erdmann, M.V., R. Caldwell & M.K. Moosa. 1998. Indonesian 'king of the sea' discovered. *Nature* 395: 335.
- Forey, P.L. 1998. A home from home for coelacanths. *Nature* 395: 319.
- Fricke, H., O. Reinicke, H. Hofer & W. Nachtigall. 1987. Locomotion of the coelacanth *Latimeria chalumnae* in its natural environment. *Nature* 329: 331-333.
- Heemstra, P.C. & P.H. Greenwood. 1992. New observations on the visceral anatomy of the late-term fetuses of the living coelacanth fish and the oophagy controversy. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 249: 49-55.
- McAllister, D.E. & C.L. Smith. 1978. Mensurations morphologiques, dénombrements méristiques et taxonomie du coelacanth, *Latimeria chalumnae*. *Naturaliste Can.* 105: 63-76.
- Millot, J., J. Anthony & D. Robineau. 1978. Anatomie de *Latimeria chalumnae*, Tome III. Editions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris. 48 pp.
- Suetin, G., B.N. White & P.T. Boag. 1991. Preservation of avian blood and tissue samples for DNA analyses. *Can. J. Zool.* 69: 82-90.
- Suyehiro, Y., T. Uyeno & N. Suzuki. 1982. Coelacanth, dissecting a living fossil. *Newton (Graphic Science Magazine)* 2(8): 82-93 (in Japanese).